

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	O. CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,865	07/09/2003	Fritz Gestermann	22133-00005-US	8164
23416 CONNOLLY I	7590 04/20/2007 BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, 1	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2207		WILKINS III, HARRY D		
WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1742		
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Application No.				
Notice of Abandonment	10/614,865	GESTERMANN ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Harry D. Wilkins, III	1742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Of (a) □ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the of the period for period reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	of Mailing or Transmission dated of month(s)) which expired on _), which is after the expiration of the			
	•				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fit Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	led Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, w), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balan	nce of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37).	equired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the Notice of			
 (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Trar	nsmission dated), which is			
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.	the attorney or agent of record, the ass	signee of the entire interest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	an attorney or agent (acting in a repres	sentative capacity under 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inter- of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cl		se the period for seeking court review			
7. The reason(s) below:					
	(Herry D Wilkins, III Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1742			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notic	e of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20070418			